(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 4887 / 2004

Petitioner : Ku.Sarita Nirmal D/o Late Ratan Singh Nirmal, Aged about 22 Years, R/o – Chhote Attarmuda, Near Zila Panchayat Bhawan, Distt. – Raigarh – (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4895/04
Presented by Shri L.T. Modi
dated 15-12-04

Versus

Respondents :

1. Union of India
Through its Secretary
State Reorganization
Cell, Khan Market,
New Delhi.

2. State of Chhattisgarh
Through it's Secretary, Department
Of Forest, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur-(C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through it's Secretary Department
Of Forest, Vallabh Bhawan,
Bhopal – (M.P.)

4. Chief Conservator of Forest
Bilaspur (C.G.)

5. District Forest Officer
Production Division, Raigarh-(C.G.)

(2) (4)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(56)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 4887 OF 2004

Petitioner : Ku. Sarita Nirmal

versus

Respondents : Union of India & Others.

Present : Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri S.K. Beriwal, counsel for the respondent No.1.
Shri I.N. Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the State.

ORAL ORDER
(27th June, 2006)

1. The father of the petitioner namely Shri Ratan Singh Nirmal died while working as Forester at Production Division of the Raigarh Forest Department at Raigarh in harness on 9.3.2000.

2. Thereafter, the petitioner has made an application for compassionate appointment, which according to the petitioner was rejected.

3. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2.2.2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant-legal heir of the deceased employees, who died in harness between 1.11.1997 to 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

4. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.3879/2004 and other connected matters on its

own merit, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

5. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge